

I would like to express my support of both the proposed speed ramp and parking scheme for Cambridge Street, Rugby, CV21 3NG.

Parking for residents is becoming increasingly challenging, particularly with only the bottom half of the road currently covered by the permit parking scheme.

Having both in place would be very beneficial.

Mr Davenport,

I am writing to object to the extension of the Proposed Limited Waiting restrictions to additional sections of Cambridge Street, Rugby.

We are a business operating from Cross Street and have traded from Cross Street/ Cambridge Street as a limited company since 1962 and the previous business owner established [Business Name] in Cambridge Street in 1929.

As Cross Street is subject to the existing Limited Waiting Restrictions, our 4 members of staff park in the sections of Cambridge Street which you are proposing to bring into Zone R2. This will leave Sun Street, Windsor Street, Bridge Street and Hunter Street as the only unrestricted options and these streets are already in high demand for parking.

Our presence as a business in the area helps parking. The roadside space outside our office allows 3 cars to park. We are not at work in evenings, overnight or at weekends so the space is available for residents. During our office hours, many residents' vehicles in Cross Street and Cambridge Street are no longer parked, freeing up space for our staff and customers.

We have objected to the limited waiting restrictions since their introduction in 2006/7. We have repeatedly requested business parking permits and again, I request that you make provision for business parking permits.

Regards,

Hello mr davenport

I am writing to object to the residents parking in Cambridge street, rugby, Warwickshire due to the fact that we in riley court, Jerome court, and Clifton road car sales share a access road off Cambridge street and our drive will be flooded and blocked with vehicles and our car parks that are private will have endless non resident cars in them. This access has to be free of traffic as the garage , riley, and Jerome have quite a bit of traffic using this drive and it is a major safety risk and also bin lorries, delivery vehicles, and even more importantly ambulances have to have access. To put resident only is going to cause major risk and confrontation and even lives at risk, are you prepared for that as we have many elderly living here. Even though you will still go ahead I would like at least for something to stop unwanted vehicles to be parking on the access road, like double yellow lines. Signs with no parking with a charge if they do, so we can report them to have them removed. To us this is a big concern, and I hope you take this avoidable situation seriously, I hope to hear some sensible decision before it has the go ahead. Put common sense, safety, and potentially lives before council greed.

Thank you

As a resident of Cambridge St I am totally in favour to the proposals for residents parking permits and the road hump implementation
Regards

Dear Team,

I would like to express my support for both the proposed speed limit bump at the top of the road, as well as the much needed residents parking scheme to be expanded to cover the rest of the street.

Regards

am in favour of the Parking Scheme.

Although not a car owner myself it is clear that Cambridge Street is used both as a daily car park for those using the Station and not wishing to pay to park their car; and as a long-term, if not permanent, car storage facility (or "dump") by those who do NOT live in the Street. This causes numerous problems throughout the day for those Street-dwellers who require deliveries or temporary parking space for tradespeople (gardners, plumbers, electricians, gas engineers etc); not to mention difficulties for two-way traffic in the street.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am emailing to air my support for the proposed Parking Permit Plans. I believe it will benefit the area and am more than happy for it to come to fruition.

kind regards,

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to express my objections to recently letter proposing the extension of the R2 residents parking scheme along Cambridge Street.

Firstly, I would like to highlight the letter refers to the consultation plans being available on the website - however, on inspection this refers to a hump being added to the street, rather than the content of the letter.

I object to the proposed extension on R2 parking scheme for the below reason;

1) I fail to find the benefits of paying for a permit that does not a) guarantee a space, b) wardened in the day when parking is widely available and c) opening myself up to penalties if the permit it not on display.

2) Permits are very restrictive - this would mean family close or distant could only park for a hour to visit, this is restrictive and isolating. This would also impact the more elderly on the street as well as the young families. Furthermore, disabled residents may need around the clock care to support their needs and restricting care or support staff would only hinder their health and well being.

3) There are religious institutions that offer pivotal services from religious ceremonies to creche facilities which again would be very restrictive. These for some are their life-lines in time of need.

4) The Pakistani community centre - one of very few in Rugby that offer safe spaces for Pakistani and Muslim communities.

5) The cost - as I hope you're aware, the United Kingdom is in a cost of living crisis people are currently struggling to heat their homes and feed their children - the last thing they need is to worry about paying for parking *outside their homes*, I think enforcing a permit would only add insult to injury with everything going on, for example council tax increase, mortgage interest increase, energy increase, food costs - this list is not exhaustive.

For the reason above I strongly oppose the proposal and can see no benefits from extending the R2 permit to residents of Cambridge Street. I apologise but I can only see this as a quick cash grab for Warwickshire County Council with absolutely no thought given to the residents of Cambridge St. I'm more than happy to speak to anyone to stop this.

Thank you for your letter about r2 residents parking which i think should go ahead in Cambridge Street Rugby .What is the cost of the parking permit , and when we have visitors will they be able to park , or is there a temporary permit we could buy when they are staying . Another speed bump will be welcome, along with a few more as no one is doing under 20 miles an hour in Cambridge Street , they speed in between the speed bumps as they are too far apart .

thank you

I would like to express my full support for both the proposed speed bump and permit parking at the top end of Cambridge Street in Rugby.

As a resident of the street myself I witness cars driving dangerously in excess of the 20mph speed limit on a daily basis and the introduction of an additional hump will definitely deter this behaviour.

Equally I experience constant problems with parking anywhere near my own house because ours is the only section of the street and surrounding streets that doesn't already have permit parking. As a result cars are dumped here for long periods of time/residents of neighbouring streets use the spaces/travellers to the station etc use the spaces and we are unable to park anywhere else without getting fined due to the permit restrictions in place everywhere else. We just want parity with our neighbours.

Good Afternoon

I would like to submit my support for BOTH the speed humps planned for installation in Cambridge Street Rugby AND the Residents Parking Scheme.

Can you please confirm that this is in order or let me know if I have to submit a separate email in support of the Residents Parking Scheme itself?

An acknowledgment of receipt of this email would also be appreciated.

Thankfully and at last some positive light at the end of the tunnel in respect of our traffic speeding problems in the street together with resolving the parking situation where non residents are using Cambridge Street as a car park under various headings ie holidays having gone to the station,non taxed cars no mot I could go on.I look forward to a positive result in our favour following the consultation.

I am a resident of Bartley Walk and I am - as well as my neighbours who I have discussed this with - directly and negatively affected by this proposal. I understand that this new proposal is an amendment to the original order to paint double yellow lines across the entire entrance to Tee Tong Road and Teeswater Close. I objected to this at the time and am glad to see this new revision has taken some concern for the residents who have no choice but to rely on this road for their family parking.

However, the current proposal does not go far enough in my eyes to leave us room for our vehicles. Each house of Bartley Walk (4 addresses) has one allocated parking spot at the rear of their respective houses, but these houses are all three or four bedroom abodes with families and/or couples inside. Modern day life demands a lot of transportation from both working parents/adults and often requires at least one car each. I say 'at least', as I am aware that some residents of Teeswater Close and Tee Tong Road also require dedicated work vehicles, of which there are at least two work vans belonging to local residents that need this parking space too. There are at least eight vehicles - including those two work vans - that use the parking space besides the green areas of Teeswater Close and Tee Tong road. The amended proposal currently facing us would not leave enough **safe** spaces for everyone to park. I put a big emphasis on the word 'safe' here, as I understand from communal discussion on Long Lawford's Facebook group that safety is one of the main concerns of those on the other side of the debate to me.

I must make a point that all vehicles that have been parking on these curbs have done so with a lot of consideration and safety in mind; cars do not park on the corners, none of us double park (the western side of Teeswater close is usually left empty to leave room for emergency vehicles), and those that park on the southern side of Tee Tong (the side with no pavement) park on the rubber lattice over the grass with no space taken on the road, and never directly opposite the junction. These particular spaces are needed for the entry residents of Tee Tong Road, as the road becomes narrow and is already clustered with parked cars - making room for only one car at a time anyway.

Tee Tong estate is packed full of on-road parked cars due to the many three-story multi-rental properties there are, which means each house needs multiple car spaces. The fault of this can be traced far back to housing developers, the rental market, or the modern requirement and reliance on individual transport - it does not really matter here and isn't the topic of my argument, but it's important that the people living here are not to blame for this situation - most of us don't have alternatives to cars and this is the only way we can work. Under the current proposal, the southern spaces on Tee Tong Road will have yellow lines. This will force those vehicles (including a large work van) to park elsewhere. They have two options; park on the entrance to Teeswater close, which is already being used by Bartley Walk and Teeswater residents; or be forced further up the road into Tee Tong, making the road even more dangerous to drive on.

As I mentioned previously, the main concern I have seen about parking on the entrance to this estate is about safety, but putting **any** amount of yellow lines down will not solve this, and just push the problem to even more unsafe areas where the road is blind and narrower. As someone that watches and uses the road every day, including the corner to the south of Bartley Walk, it is not the cars parking on the road that cause problems. Many drivers speed into the estate and want to continue going up to 30mph around these small corners (10mph would be unsafe on one of our straight roads). Additionally - probably due to the lack of lane markings - most drivers drive in the centre of the road (even when there are no cars parked) then have to emergency break as soon as another car comes around the corner ahead of them. This happens on a daily basis, and is irrelevant of any cars that might be parked there as this happens at all times of day and night.

I do believe visibility could be improved on that corner south of Bartley Walk by cutting down or trimming the last few metres of hedge down significantly. This leads me to a different conversation about this hedge, as it is supposed to be owned and maintained by a estate management company called HLM (we can not trim it ourselves without risk of confrontation, Bartley Walk's relationship with HLM is not friendly). Beneath that hedge is actually a low fence that has been destroyed by the hedge not being properly maintained. I have attached three images of the hedge from google maps. The first (lowest height) is from 2009, then 2012, and finally 2022 (very overgrown and blocks all sight). I - as I am sure every local road user would be too - am very happy for the last few metres of hedge to be chopped down or at least properly maintained to no more than a few feet off the ground. This hedge is the main reason people can't see around the corner, not parked cars.

Furthermore, residents of Bartley Walk have had abysmal service from delivery companies for almost a year now. The worst examples are that we've filed several reports of theft with the police as we have caught delivery drivers stealing packages on our CCTV. Additionally, several times a week (this is a new norm unfortunately), delivery drivers will not deliver to our houses, and instead throw them over the hedge and leave them anywhere on the ground in front, or take them to houses along Tee Tong Road. One in two of our packages are damaged, missing, or incorrectly delivered at the current moment. I understand this is not your fault or your problem, but reducing parking spaces outside our homes will only aggravate all the busy (if not potentially lazy) delivery drivers that somehow find it hard to walk up to our house and only encourage even worse behaviour. We are very tired of complaining and filing reports regarding this issue, and this will only make it worse for us.

If the delivery issues weren't enough, a year ago our cars were keyed by residents of Tee Tong Road. We know this due to our collective CCTV cameras. Five cars belonging to Bartley Walk and Teeswater Close were damaged, with every affected household filing a Police report. Unfortunately, as is becoming increasingly frequent to the peoples of Rugby, the Police claimed they could not do anything despite facial recognition on CCTV. As a result, we are extremely uncomfortable with having to move any of our vehicles away from sight of our windows. Car thefts and vandalism are becoming more common in our area, so we can not accept moving our cars further away into isolated, unsafe places, outside other peoples doors.

Lastly, as a suggestion, the green area outside Bartley Walk is unused besides for rampant littering from local children getting off the bus opposite the road. This green area could become an allocated car park for the residents nearby, solving many problems. If this were not possible, then permits for allocated spaces for the local houses to Bartley Walk, the houses along the entrance to Tee Tong estate and Teeswater estate could help solve this too.

In conclusion, placing any double yellow lines down will only make the problem worse. This is because:

- . Shifting eight or more vehicles further down the estate where the roads are worse and children are likely to be about increases risk.
- . The safety problem is mostly caused by reckless driving behaviour that will only be encouraged and worsened if not for the parked cars slowing them down.
- . Our vehicles are more likely to be damaged or stolen by not having them in open and visible areas
- . Our quality of delivery service is at risk of even further deterioration.

There are several alternative solutions that benefit everyone, such as:

- . Cutting back the end of the obscuring hedge on Bartley Walk.
- . Creating allocated parking spaces for local residents.
- . Turning the muddy grass area into a parking space.

Thank you for reading and listening to my opinion on this proposal.

Yours sincerely,

I am writing to express our concerns on the proposed yellow lines on Tee Tong Road and local area.

I am a resident of Bartley Walk and have objected to the original plans for yellow lines and am happy to see some changes to this, however the current proposed yellow lines are still of a concern to us.

We have 1 parking space to the rear of the property and we are a 3 vehicle family. I know that most of the residents along Tee Tong and Bartley are also multiple vehicle owners as you would expect of a modern day family.

The current limited street parking at the front of Bartley walk at the beginning of Teeswater Close and around onto the start of Tee Tong is just enough for us and the Bartley Walk residents along with a few van owners from Teeswater and beyond to park.

My wife has a blue badge and this parking allows her to get to and from her vehicle. Any further a walk and this would not be possible.

The introduction of your proposed yellow lines, specifically around the entrance to Tee tong on the corners of Teeswater would further limit these parking spaces and leave the residents no-where to park.

Our vehicles was also keyed by residents of Tee Tong and as nothing was pursued by the police regarding this we value the ability to park our cars within sight of our CCTV cameras which do point to the parking areas on the corner of Teeswater Close and Tee Tong where our vehicles park.

The yellow lines would surely force us to park further up Tee Tong, taking much needed spaces for Tee Tong residents and would be away from our CCTV making our vehicles more vulnerable to damage.

Having read through the original proposals and reasons for them I believe that reducing the speed of vehicles around the entrance to Tee Tong and the removal of the bush on the corner of Bartley Walk and tee Tong would give much better visibility of oncoming vehicles and the ability to manoeuvre around the corner and entrance to Tee Tong safely.

Another solution to the issue may to be to add a drop kerb to the hard standing to the right of the Tee tong entrance next to the Drain ditch area so that this can be readily used for parking. This would remove our vehicles from parking on the road. Also we could look at adding parking spaces

onto some of the grassed area at the entrance to Tee Tong, maybe with permits. I believe that the yellow lines in the proposed areas will only cause further problems down the line and do not see this as a solution to the issue at all.

Tee Tong Road parking (Long Lawford)

From a concerned Tee Tong Road resident - I would rather my actual name is not published externally as this is a highly contentious issue around this estate.

Please contact me if any problems with this.

Hi

I am writing to express my concern around the Tee Tong Parking proposals.

Ref: PTRO21-004-002

I understand the important of safety at the entrance to this estate and would agree something needs to be done - however with the limited access points to the estate and the number of people on the development with no allocated parking, I'm worried the problems will just move further down Tee Tong Road.

I agree with the yellow lines on the corners/junctions but I want to clarify the yellow lines (highlighted part on the attached pic). Does that mean people can't park off the road any more on the verges as I thought this area had been allocated as parking? Looks like the ground has been altered.

As parking and traffic is so terrible on the estate - it not an option to drop the kerb at the start of the estate and create a parking area? Beside the balancing pond.

Yellow lines and people not being able to park on the verges at the start of the estate by the balancing pond will move cars up Tee Tong Road and potentially cause even more issues. At the moment we park on one side of Tee Tong as the road is narrow - however in busier times people park half on the kerb/grass verge on the other side (which wreck all the verges) and would mean a fire engine definitely couldn't get through if needed, and cars may find it harder to pass through.

I believe there are the following issues on the estate.

- There should never have been only one small access road to the whole estate/development of hundreds of houses. The Briars close - access road should have opened up to cars (in the original plans) which would mean much easier access through to the top of the estate. If the small entrance to Tee Tong is blocked 100s of residents are trapped which cannot be safe. I know there was objections to briars close being a road and now only has pedestrian access. Having another access point would reduce the traffic at the tee tong entrance significantly reducing the chance of an accident.
- Houses at the start of the estate have been designated/granted permission by the council as multiple occupancy - this means even more cars per household in an already busy area and adding to the parking problems.
- The Tee Tong development was inadequately planned and did not account for having another large development behind it needing access. There are narrow roads and Tee Tong residents on phase 1 don't have official access to spaces or only one space (now I believe developments have to have 2 spaces)
- The hedges at Bartley walk creates visibility problems and drivers cannot see round the corner - cutting this back would help.

I definitely believe we need to have safer roads and would welcome an assessment into how many cars are on Tee Tong, the capacity of parking, the access points/roads for residents and perhaps more allocated/ designated parking areas near the balancing pond which has the space already. This could reduce the amount of cars being parked at the small entrance to the estate, reduce traffic and increase safely.

Please can you advise you have received my email.

Many thanks,

To whom it may concern,

My name is Marcin Klaudel and I am a resident of property on 4 Pytchley Road, Rugby, CV22 5NE. I'm writing in regards to the proposal of the consultation plan PTRO21-004-005, plan reference EP99, Pytchley Road double yellow line.

I would like to raise my objection to the proposed plan and/or propose slight modification to the proposal.

As a resident of property mentioned above this proposal will leave me and my household without a street space for parking for our guests and visitors. This will increase the amount of cars parked down the road causing more nuisance due to the fact that most of the households have lowered curbs and some cars are parked on the street.

Also the reason for not waiting at any time for traffic is a bit misleading, as Cromwell Road as a whole street, being the only access route to the estate, is fully packed with parked cars. I understand the risks mentioned around the junction itself and the mentioned corner, but a double yellow lane on full length of 39 metres is a bit of overkill.

My proposal is to make the double yellow lines area smaller, 10-15 metres both ways, which will take away the problem with cars parked around the corner, but leave some space for visitors to park their cars.

If you require more information, please do not hesitate to contact me with details below.

I understand I send this after the closing date, but hopefully it will be read and taken into consideration.

Your reference: PTRO-21-004-005 PYTCHLEY ROAD EP99

I have looked at the proposals to introduce double yellow lines at the junction of Pytchley Road/Cromwell Road.

I note that you only propose lines on one side of the road. Unfortunately there are occasions when cars park at the bus stop which also block the junction. The road leading to the leisure centre which is gated is also used by people to park their cars, why are these areas not included in the proposals?

Whilst I appreciate that parking at the junction is a nuisance it does provide a bit of a deterrent to drivers who speed up and down Pytchley Road/Cromwell Road. This will become even more of a problem.

Finally its rather unfair that residents get penalised for the parking of cars by staff at the hospital. Clearly the hospital needs to look at improving its own staff parking provision.

